



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,330	08/19/2003	Russell P. VandenBiesen		3981
23446	7590	03/13/2006		
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			EXAMINER	
500 WEST MADISON STREET			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
SUITE 3400				
CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,330	VANDENBIESEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert M. Kunemund	Art Unit 1722	

All Participants:

Status of Application: non final

(1) Robert M. Kunemund.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Wheeler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2006

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection over PAge

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Page

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE-Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The teachings of the Page reference with respect to the addition of the carbon was discussed, noting the broadness of the Page teachings compared to the specific claimed limitation. Also, a declaration was discussed in order to back date the Page reference. The examiner agreed to consider such a declaration and arguments.